



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/069,846	05/30/2002	David Bruce Grayden	15336	7366	
7590 03/28/2005			EXAMINER		
Scully Scott Murphy & Presser			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY		
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	3762	
			DATE MAILED, 02 00000		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/069,846	GRAYDEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>-</b>					
·- ·	<u> </u>					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,9 and 10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6-8 and 11-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Intentions Summer	(PTO.413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/02 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/24/03,4/8/02.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "basal electrodes" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, it is unclear what the applicants are intending to convey by stating that the cochlear implant "...has one form of the invention..." (lines 1 and 2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/069,846

Art Unit: 3762

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

7. Claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loizou (the article entitled: "Introduction to cochlear implants").

Concerning claim 1, sections 4.3.1-4.3.3 of the Loizou reference discuss a variety of signal processing techniques for cochlear implants (all implant devices have means for receiving sounds and means for processing the sounds and converting them into electrical stimulation signals for application to electrodes as discussed in the first paragraph under the heading "2 Cochlear implants" on page 103), wherein electrical signals are applied to basal (and apical) electrodes having different predetermined rates of stimulation. For example in the F0/F1 strategy, voicing information is conveyed by applying stimulation at a predetermined rate of F0 pulses per second, while unvoiced information is supplied at predetermined stimulation rates of 100 pulses/sec. Related comments apply to the F0/F1/F2 and MPEAK strategies. The examiner considers the application of pulses at F0 pulses/sec. to be predetermined in that the device must first pre-process the incoming signal in order to determine the appropriate stimulation rate. The frequency is therefore predetermined to be F0. It should be noted that predetermined does not necessarily mean fixed or constant.

Claims 9 and 10 are clearly anticipated wherein the processor continually adjusts the rate of stimulation to mimic the fundamental frequency F0 of the incoming speech signal.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose the recited means for generating electrical signals of a higher rate of stimulation to the basal electrodes than to the apical electrodes. While it is known that nerve impulses in the basal region result in the perception of higher frequency sounds and nerve impulses in the apical region result in the perception of lower frequency sounds, there is no teaching to apply different rates of

Art Unit: 3762

stimulation to these areas such that the area stimulated by the basal electrodes are pulsed at a higher frequency than the areas stimulated by the apical electrodes.

Applicants give criticality to this feature in that such a sound processor improves speech understanding performance (e.g., note page 2, par. 3 of the present specification).

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached M-W and F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached M-F at 571 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KJS March 17, 2005

CENNEDY SCHAETZE